

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/649,097	08/28/2000	Hisashi Ishikura	Q60517	7802	
7590 01/24/2005			EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			NGUYEN, NAM V		
2100 Pennsylva Washington, D	nia Avenue NW C 20037		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2635		
washington, D	2003.				

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

۵,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\bigcup
Advisory Action	09/649,097	ISHIKURA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nam V Nguyen	2635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a stimely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. Se 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extention; or (3)	extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the control of the co	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	IS.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	, ,		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>20</u> would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed am	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			
		•	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/649,097

Continuation of 2. NOTE: On page 18, Applicant amended and agrument that the Claim 1 is patentable at least for reasons similar to those set forth in claim 20. Applicant is advised that Claim 1 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicated thereof.

Referring to Claim 4, Claim 4 improperly broadens claim 1.

On page 19, applicant agrument that Flick in view of Scott fail to teach or suggest that identifier that is specific to the transmitter. Scott et al. disclose a personal identification device including an identifier storage memory for storing an ID code specific to said transmitter (column 5 lines 16 to 26; column 6 lines 54 to 61; see Figures 1-2 and 8) in order to associate an ID code with the remote controlled device when verifying for permission to configure the automotive remote key entry system.

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600